

10-11/2011

C-II

- Everyone (even JCS?) agreed -  
once (it's known that) the minutes  
are operational  
with warheads

it's "too late to attack" too ones!  
Public will regard it as too risky  
allies, since

even if JCS does not

(and "cost" of a SU firing, greater  
if a known risk)

But was the message!

But the message is:

- RFR offer of a secret trade by Tariff (with  
24-48<sup>hr</sup> ult.) could and have encouraged  
K to "keep looking" for a public trade  
for another 18 hours

"JFK interested from "no-trade"  
showing weakness

BUT confusion of "Near Tomorrow"  
(unless K tells him!)

and of "NO second shoot-down"

- we can't hold back JCS

What if time,

(RFR doesn't report this! TCS!

JFK can't hold back,

so K can't control

K can't or not

→ NO TIME FOR "LOOKING" - OUT NOW

Start AA... → get minutes out!



Was R ready for this before RFR-Dub report?

or was he ready to try to get  
positive response to Oct 27 offer? for another  
day? TELL RFR (Dub) - NO SECRET DEAL, ONLY PUBLIC

(He would have been, if AA didn't  
hit a US plane - if he'd published.

But - news! deflation!  
U-2!

(Yes, mission was "close"!

but his attack Sunday

like: "we  
want above  
blockade."

from U-2

possible - he didn't know,  
until RFR-Dub, that JFK had ruled  
against it - "at least this..."

(+ not admitting  
mistake)

(Monday attitude

48 hrs. RFR: Tuesday mistake (followed by mission  
not, Monday?

"Yes, they're  
thru, by  
right  
& need!

& blockade  
is illegal  
(still not  
in UN!)

|| U-2 report has been enough (if local  
council!) to cause K to stop  
bargaining, and now

News of it, during SU meeting? (Saturday  
when in Moscow?

and it led to ult. of JFK's BLUFF (he didn't have  
to go in 48 hrs, just because JCS wanted it



VFR's "concern" to RFR —

was it just "conquish," "tragedy"

or information for public trade

Did he tell RFR of Cordell play?

(No evidence?)

see cables on RFR)

VFR had made change on Sat.,

he proposed public trade to  
attack

but his excuse for not attacking

1) after Bat 27 letter

or 2) U-2 photo-down

" just an acceptable (to him, not to VCS!)

Waggon: no-inversion.

(Proposed of K to



Over casualties (like O-2)

→ survivors, detainees, (fewer...  
"troops")

in VFR in combat troops in VIV

(Says - "no combat troops." LIE: 10,000

Have there been and clashes that did  
not lead to war?



9-21-11

VFK had not visited SIOP-62  
either in spring '61

OR SEPT '61

(or after Kagan - plan =

- take SAC off <sup>(with board)</sup> airline about!  
(tell recruits!)

- end delegation!

Now stopped Just IRBMs!

~~was discussed~~

Berlin plans?

(A responded to NIE with Kilpatrick!

not new BNVP!

Berlin - should be only bluff

But - is VSCP contagious

"hooking in" - NO NCS

Reexamine Poland,  
min det

"need for CF, protection, etc.

Admiral Low Chilby on national and ...

(NATO?)

(Unilateral SO comes with Kenny & new ...!]

"WBO, aside from Kenny..."!



Consult with (real man).

Keynote

TR

Paine

Eggenhardt

Schall

Daley

Rhodes?

Kern

Rosenthal

Burstein

MHH

Alperowitz

Solomon

Kennedy

Research

Keynote Research

More? (Schall)

Rubel

McGowan

Kern

My Mitchell

Kipton

Memoranda:

~~Dalbey~~

Dalbey

Schles on RFR

Solomon Kennedy

Schles 1000 Days

Gene (ordered?)



Benjamin  
Dyer  
291

What has kept the great powers  
(inc. NATO?) from war with each other  
cos even, "and conflict"  
any KIA - except Maj. Anderson?

Dyer

for 60+ years (longest time since  
modern <sup>Western</sup> state system is 17th century - 400 years?  
or perhaps some Med. city-states (3000 years?)  
(Korea: US-China?)

Hyp: Each sees the other (not itself)  
as potential madman, mad,  
capable of escalating to use of  
nukes, and esp. those...  
(not limiting to non-nukes,  
or to lin. nuc. war  
which would be mad.)

in C-I  
in Berlin  
in V4

in C-II Oct 16-20  
Oct 27  
(Oct 29)

What kept VFR from killing  
one Low soldier  
and K from wanting to risk  
an uncontrolled (if his) killing of  
one more US soldier

(U-2 showed: 1) it could happen  
again - the commit is control - shortly  
next would be catalyst for war 2) if it did, US response to next one  
would be <sup>more</sup> dangerous <sup>more sure,</sup> begin + fast - than if  
it hasn't already happened. (not.)



## VCS unhappiness with JFK

Kass B-70

C-I <sup>2d</sup> - air strike

- air support: invasion

(Humboldt?)

- Viewer Berlin Wall;

- VN Oct '61

- (BNSP?) (Museum, China;

- PALs

= center on Moscow - as US

negotiation of Northwoods

- C-II - no air strike; blockade Oct 16-19

- no response to U-2

- no-invasion pledge on

Oct 28, use missile (Killing)

- no (air-strike) invasion, Oct 28-

(if still didn't know of Nov 20

SU troops, use warheads, too new,

deliberation (making of President

to see this was or was)

[VCS - wanted (?) to see SU attack  
on Berlin or Turkey?]

1962 - "was a later time" Mark on Kelly  
(true!)

<sup>ATB</sup>  
- Just now (American Univ. speech!)  
(near CTB)



Seeing closeness of me over, thinks,  
Can we now - meltdown / occidents / false  
dear

can we honestly say: the maturity,  
ignorance, misunderstanding, political  
mistakes

shown in these

are all of the part?

"We're so much smarter now,  
wiser, older, more experienced,  
has proven to -- "

more combed

(Fukushima, Katrina / New Orleans

Iraq, Afghanistan

( creation / evolution / young earth

climate denial

economics theories of anti-recession

("bleeding" / leeches; no spending  
addict to deficit  
fear of inflation  
anti-regulation  
anti-prog. tax

Wf us  
9-11 before/  
until 2001?

Either absence of explanation is  
66 years is a miracle ("Miracles happen")  
or there is some unknown-to-me force  
that protects against it...

(It can't be as dangerous as it looks to  
me - like, driving roads in VN total to  
others...



Richard Goodwin was a Morgenthau list.  
(and C-I ?!)

Where was he in C-II ?!  
(Schleser ?)

335 Dyer: Head of N, Carter, Reagan (not, all ?!)  
to make FS more (or even WWK...)  
credible, more political utility  
(and sell weapons to USSR, etc.)  
But what was its political utility?

US in NATO

Isa

FU threats

Berlin (Truly? in C-II)

← Reputation

(movement in C-II  
a Berlin Crisis)

(though USSR didn't want  
to test that -



Friday Oct 14, 2011 (49th Anniversary of  
O-2 flight!) (Sunday, 1962)

Big secrets from ExCom + by those who knew  
for 225 years

see my  
study 1964;  
EGL  
ExCom tops

- Morgenthau

- prior coordination (bser) (me)

= RFK sent offer of secret deal Oct 27  
(convent.)

PSALM

- RFK ultimatum (Bluff?)

we - RFK ult. on AA/SAM

- JFK favoring <sup>after ExCom</sup> eventual deal: Oct 20!

+ McN Oct 18 (Thursday) ...

R

- JFK favoring <sup>after</sup> deal in ExCom Oct. 27 <sup>Monday</sup>

- R-JFK - Condon after deal

- ? (Dahlgren: RFK/JFK proposes deal  
Oct 26)

- Initial responses: Rife, Bush, McN

SU secrets:

Bundy! (no action)

Humbly  
239

see Criss of MGB, McN

- NORTHWOODS (see Humbly in '88-  
1992)



(+ Sept 4?)

(W) did K lie to JFK after Sept. 13 Attests!

(F.M.A) Very Nasty

2nd to continue after Sept. 4/13

Should ~~the~~ through have raised issue

while Dab, he did know!

\* JFK - since Saw in Cuba how th'd  
be Am on Oct 14?

Did G/K take JFK's failure to raise  
issue as evidently JFK would keep the  
secret, so K may have known?

Did CIA DOE know of 1) Megason  
2) exercises?

A saw LANT plus in '61

RSACM

Special Group (Argentinian) SSA

EGU

SI/NIE

I

1964? Amirante <sup>Has</sup>

303, etc.

(54A)

describe?



EGC

10-14-11

NOTE: Wh EGC was  
being "considered" as ambassador  
in 1961 -

he told me - but then, again - for assass -  
but not this in 1963!

he had first come off  
Magnum - vibrating  
assass plans!  
(i.e. McN!)

at the: provocative plans in  
1964 reborn  
EGC prov. plans - 1962

(continuing - commit ops  
- Neon (1962! 1964!)

(K on U-2 com  
"did you want us  
to shoot your plans?"  
Well?)

("Kend" for Neon on Oct. 27-28?  
- still shp off Cuba de hate!  
- Strutaneous (like a fake attack  
on de hate; or Plinku!)



and then for Liaison Office  
in 1965

just over 2 years from end  
of

|| Which of his them had been sent  
of Mogoo 1962-63?

No one told me!

Rufus Phillips?

who would still know?

Who's alive?

Herby?

(Scotter knows?)

Who was involved in Mogoo?!

(I've over thought to ask -

until this morning, 10-14-11, 8PM

writing Herby - rather

see bios of EGL

goose Mogoo

base?

Kornbluh

Prosser

Wick + Blight

Bremer



Prouty?

Who is capable of running Morgeson  
well? is 1962

Fried? wf?

| John fit born to run by ext. of  
his cap. of run across of  
JFK:

(Did a connection Morgeson, in a  
discussion of EGC with Stone?)

It's not in fact, JFK:

Shackley  
+ Chen:

The fit Enterprise:

Earl Wilson: (?)

Sam Wilson?

Gen. Conrad, DIA? (for Conrad)

Infiltr. of Morgeson (like G-I) by  
Castro? → K's knowledge = Phil, My.

Did NVT, MSM know? dest? scale?

Cecil Curry EGC

for Douglas

Prouty on Morgeson? — sent Sam:



Set: Alleged Assass Plots 1975 (76?)

(Hest)

Colly

Beunten

Bamford

Procuty

Wiel + Ross!

Blum (Empire Report)

me

(JTM - Finkey ma

(Cous Control)

Seaborn '64

Provention '64

VCS = Castro is willing to see

the news used by both!

or SIOB

(Europe?)

Bureau!)

different only in

the <sup>proportion</sup> of one's

our nations killed

10 M US dead

[S-S Bloo? Europe?]

(number = same?)

10 M Germans?



i. ~~Nothing~~, ed. C-II Revised

McN - VA shows America can wage limited war  
without raising public ire.

without getting JCS to merge

Pres can "ride the tiger"

the JCS

McN: "crisis management"

can manage JCS

and SU

"Cuban ship" → RT ("Anding a warship")  
TG (TCS)

HAK, '69'

to run

run in one hour

threats of ones

Art of Coercion!

4 new war → genocide

(since WWII featuring + A-bombs)

falter as "threat" } not "Negotiation of  
(like "fall of China") } reality, legitimate

united order - because  
irrevocable & power.



(SU did not intend to <sup>or large region</sup> ~~annihilate~~ world order  
by force

like Hitler  
or Japanese  
or US in ME, PNAC

(after from Castro, China. (Korea?))

VN was not a sign of this.

or Cuba!

or "crash ICBM program" (<sup>K</sup>bluff!)  
or bunker

or "threat to West Europe"

K did make a big back-down — from his  
public offer which VFR might (went!) have  
accepted a few days later —

unless C shot down

or lowered!



App. SO states that any "defensive" measures were being put in place was not to deceive JFK (they <sup>to</sup> control an opening for that) but to enable them to say (to world) afterwards that they had not lost

Details of ground-based missiles were made only in private channel, none in public that would still be hidden

DID R (NOT) EXPECT JFK to be furious — and act (crisis pattern)

Q: Why did he not tell JFK that it was a F.A. — too late for blockade or air strike or invasion  
was was "ap" (esp. too over)

as he planned to do in November  
(only a week or two away)

He could still back off saying so that — if JFK did not back off.

Why did he "give up" on Walt?

Why not above, instead?



Haskell  
262

Set right

2 previous

2 warnings

(JFK: 2 notes) Release 16/6

Chang

R: 3 notes

See C-II in light of VP 1964 34A

(

Seaborn

don't feel

lies of some people

McN — We had no intention of invading

did JCS know that?

Cuba/SU ~~agrees~~ beliefs = JCS beliefs + recommendations  
from Reports

Kellogg K2 (Coward JFK ~~over~~ sniff "eaten" the missiles

as Rusk + McN thought first

and K (not all know!)

(but from 1) Dan Ford ("Kenny as  
Pres.!")

2) JFK Sept 4/13 ~~stated~~  
(too late)

did JFK really realize

only R did it? (Cuba)

he should have!

(But he couldn't admit it

even to whole ExComm!)

RFEK knew; + Bundy, McN, Eisenhower, <sup>Taylor</sup> Kennedy?

JCS/DDO (+CIA) were preparing to attack Cuba!



VFR problem: like CBI 64-65

JCS wanted to attack

even ready

and could change that he had refused

(though: too late, by

(Did the conclusion that FROGS/FKR's  
-like forces (+ MRBMs!) had missed?)

Blockade was on out of war

that could have triggered all-out war

(see notes.)

NO was U-2 flights, no?!

(How did we justify those?)

X

Could we have alerted to SAOT about dem?

[POSSIBLE, Oct. 14?]

(or - led to low-level war?)

163

See - "JFK thought blockade would hurt  
his in election"

[BUT - was then (2) no action!

(b) air-strikes → was, was

(c) immediate offer of trade (Stevenson)

(d) Trade before election (ready to

threat is in could limit price of bargain.

do it, if we.)



How did C-II affect 1962 election?

(He did achieve victory before election

(with his concessions

and his voluntarily to curb more

(i.e. more to Castro?)

|| kept SECRET for 25 years

(i.e. invasion plans/paraps?)

(VCS exportation?)

until Shirley 1982 - 26 years

Below

JFK to Rusk to Cardin

like K

urgency was driven by C shooting  
into neon

|| both needed it to end on body:

- JFK couldn't stop neon (and couldn't count on

- K couldn't stop Castro (K stopped firing?)

(K just regard both warnings  
as bluffs - as they were?)

(Would JFK have struck if  
another plane shot down?)

How to - prevent VCS revolt,  
approach



But of K had rejected RFR deal —

as VFR expected —

he even had to attract (for VCS)

or was I don't

(Don + Rudy didn't know  
about that: In judging he  
would have "tightened blockade"

(how would that end?)

(How long could K control C + AA —  
if at all?!)

VFR if had "tolerated" missiles (he couldn't  
just threaten without blockade  
without result

(he could have learned a  
lesson/lesson/improvement in 1962/<sup>early</sup>63!

result was from Don Fulbright!

(like LB from RFR:)



Wf didn't JFR (+ Hansen, ...)

like McCane — former SU minutes

as defense! + ...

|| Wf didn't K beat off MRSHs after Sept. 4  
or 13th?

warnings

to save

Did anyone suggest this to K?!

Think of it?

(Keep troops + run two waves!

174 below

[Sept. blockade (prior to trade)

= 1) Shooting way out of Holston

WAB out '64

Shirred!

MCN - RT '65?

N - Christmas bombing!

prior to major deal

(so we can attribute deal to (in part)

toughness (not fear)

and "it's the best deal we could get"

McG: JTM/MCN Good doctor!

(with some danger: Mil. will say

"it was not the "extra mile,"

not the best deal)



Don Pol made C-I the ultimate K/T  
situation:

ON BOTH SIDES!  
to be of loss!

to avoid certain S-T personal (political  
and internal)

loss (after drawing the line)

Kibon 175

VFR was ready to risk WWII, SGP  
(in blockade)

(though, to avoid that, later,  
he was prepared to accept "frozen-up  
debts" - AS IN LAOS, VN  
(an infect election, while VN  
1  
Congressional (press)

Did K consider possibility of blockade  
if US did discuss minors  
|| before to come clearly  
|| operational and and?

X or, VFR did this is next, no E.A.!

[E.MA → US E.A.!]

showing resolve, "not" later the

(What if Khengko and on Oct 18, when  
and of VFR is told: "Too late!" Thy

Five and one and! (or, bluff: MRBM  
(All advance 4 days ago, at 62) one and!)



K/T and F.MA

a F.MA producers

disrupt, feels me / add danger of loss (2)

- 1) anger, humiliation
- 2) desperation, danger  
(of S-G from loss)

"Crisis" - danger

(Cheney)

and "offending"

N

= big crisis story

TCS: C-II as

"great crisis"

Kelso 178

But add anger

Long; JFK in C-II; hst/Korea;  
Afghan (Bagram)

K/T: Quinn

Korea (hst)

(ant, the?)

1973

ant, 1969

1972?

new crisis: EU

Berlin crisis

Def hst/VCS carnival

was to defeat Marines  
at Chen Res.?!  
Resumer!

Kelso 1981  
(#1-12)

K in 1962  $\equiv$  JFK in 1961 (EU/FS threats!)

DBP - unwounded (like Berlin, Cuba, Ross. Laos, Chen!)